

LIST OF FIGURES & TABLES:

- Fig.1 : CASI scene recovery channel at 500 ft. altitude above ground level over Prince Albert calibration site.
- Fig.2 : CASI image at 8000 ft. altitude above ground level over Old Black Spruce Site.
- Fig.3 : (a) Average $k(\lambda)$ curve for all three calibration panels derived from a regression of digital number spectral curves to zero altitude (equation 8 (b)). The same curve derived from the absolute CASI calibration but normalized to the CASI spectral band at a nominal wavelength of 696 nm is shown to demonstrate the agreement in form. (b) Residual errors in the $k(\lambda)$ curve between the best estimate (*i.e.*, the mean value) and the $k_i(\lambda)$ curves of the three calibration panels.
- Fig.4 : CASI measurements over the three 7.5 m x 7.5 m calibration reference panels and over the tarmac target: (a,d) upwelling scene digital numbers (DN_{L*}), (b,e) downwelling irradiance digital numbers (DN_E), (c,f) ratio of (a) to (b) and apparent BRF derived from equation (9) using the ratio of (d) to (e), respectively. Curves in figure (c) were used along with the measured panel BRFs to produce the $k(\lambda)$ calibration factor.
- Fig.5 : Results of multi-altitude regression technique applied to apparent BRFs of tarmac surface from which three spectral fit parameters have been extracted: (a) ground surface BRF, (b) total aerosol optical depth and (c) slope term (v) from equation 8 (b).
- Fig.6 : Tests of the CAM5S atmospheric correction procedure over the validation targets at the Prince Albert airport calibration site: (a) tarmac, (b) runway and (c) grass target. All quantities are in terms of apparent BRF ($R^*(\tau_g)$). CAM5S atmospheric corrections provide an output reflectance (ρ_c) which can be compared with $R^*(0)$. These corrections were applied for (1) no environmental effect ($\rho_e = \rho_c$) and (2) with an environmental effect ($\rho_e \neq \rho_c$) wherein the environment is taken as a CAM5S standard vegetation.
- Fig.7 : Tests of the CAM5S atmospheric correction procedure over several targets at Old Black Spruce site: (a) water (White Swan lake), (b) water (White Gull lake), (c) Black Spruce tower site, (d) cut-over and (e) sand. Legend is the same as Figure 6.
- Fig.8 : CASI image acquired in the 489 nm band (band 13) at 8000 ft. altitude (AGL) over the Old Black Spruce site: (a) roll corrected raw image and (b) atmospherically corrected image.

- Tab.1 : Nominal atmospheric optical conditions for the atmospheric corrections. The actual required inputs are in bold type. The standard inputs are defined in the documentation of CAM5S.
- Tab.2 : Relative errors in the apparent surface BRF computed for uncorrected and atmospherically corrected cases in the visible and near-infrared regions. The quantity in brackets are the absolute differences. These relative and absolute errors representing the spectral averages of equations (10 (a)) and (10 (b)) were applied to the 8 sets of spectral curves in Figures 6 and 7.
- Tab.3 : Spectrally averaged relatives errors in the apparent surface BRF computed for uncorrected and atmospherically corrected cases in the visible and near-infrared regions. This table has the same meaning as Table 2 except that in this case the atmospheric corrections were performed using apparent BRF rather than normalized radiance as input to the atmospheric correction model.

Fig. A-1 : (a) Surface measured BRF for all tarmac stations and all data acquisition dates as a function of scattering angle between the illumination and viewing directions and the best fit Henyey-Greenstein function, at 500 nm nominal wavelength ($K=0.034$; $g=0.206$). (b) Residual errors between the BRF measurements and the BRF fitted.

<i>Parameters</i>	<i>Value at 550nm or label</i>	<i>Comments</i>
Pressure, temperature, relative humidity profile	US Standard 62	<i>standard meteorological parameters defined in CAM5S</i>
Aerosol phase function	Continental	<i>standard aerosol mixture defined in CAM5S</i>
Aerosol optical depth (τ atmosphere)	0.1	
Rayleigh optical depth	0.098	
Molecular absorption optical depth	0.031	
Total optical depth (τ_0)	0.198	
Aerosol boundary layer scale height (km)	2	<i>exponential profile for aerosol number density.</i>
Nominal wavelength	72 CASI bands	<i>CASI trapezoidal diode filter function of 7.9 nm FWHM</i>
Environment background	$\rho_e = \rho_c$ or $\rho_e \neq \rho_c$	<i>standard «vegetation» environment of CAM5S</i>
Target and environment BRF (inputs to equation A-1)	Lambertian * BRF	<i>Lambertian in the sense that: $R_{sur}(\mu, \phi, -\mu_o, \phi_o + \pi) = \bar{R}_{sur}(\mu, \phi) = \rho$</i>
Target size (R in km)	0	<i>varied for particular cases</i>

Table 1: *Nominal atmospheric optical conditions for atmospheric corrections. The actual required inputs are in bold type. The standard inputs are defined in the documentations of 5S, CAM5S and 6S atmospheric models.*

* This does not imply that the surface BRF retrieval is Lambertian. Rather it represents an algorithmic approximation where the downwelling surface irradiance effectively appears to be concentrated in the direction of the solar beam and the (second order) BRF effects of the downwelling sky radiance incident on the target are effectively clumped into the solar beam BRF. One must keep in mind that in the absence of an atmosphere the CAM5S "Lambertian" approximation would return the correct BRF surface value (it would simply transform normalized radiance into surface BRF).

<i>Normalized Radiances</i>	VISIBLE			NEAR-INFRARED		
<i>Type of target</i>	<i>uncorrected</i>	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e = \rho_c$	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e \neq \rho_c$	<i>uncorrected</i>	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e = \rho_c$	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e \neq \rho_c$
Black Spruce target	41% (1.0%)	15% (0.5%)	15% (0.5%)	7% (1.1%)	8% (1.3%)	9% (1.4%)
Cut-over	59% (2.8%)	23% (1.1%)	16% (0.8%)	4% (0.8%)	5% (1.2%)	6% (1.4%)
Grass	31% (1.6%)	12% (0.7%)	11% (0.6%)	6% (1.4%)	10% (2.4%)	7% (1.8%)
Runway	3% (0.6%)	5% (0.8%)	4% (0.6%)	10% (2.1%)	7% (1.4%)	5% (1.1%)
Sand	15% (2.1%)	5% (0.7%)	3% (0.5%)	2% (0.5%)	5% (1.3%)	6% (1.4%)
Tarmac	25% (1.4%)	13% (0.8%)	7% (0.4%)	20% (1.3%)	34% (2.2%)	14% (0.9%)
Water (WGL)	38% (1.5%)	10% (0.5%)	14% (0.8%)	71% (0.8%)	41% (0.4%)	109% (1.5%)
Water (WSL)	274% (1.6%)	31% (0.2%)	124% (0.6%)	348% (0.7%)	125% (0.3%)	819% (1.6%)

Table 2: Relative errors in the apparent surface BRF computed for uncorrected and atmospherically corrected cases in the visible and near-infrared regions. The quantity in brackets are the absolute differences. These relative and absolute errors represent spectral averages of equations (10 (a)) and (10 (b)) were applied to the 8 sets of spectral curves in Figures 6 and 7.

<i>BRFs</i>	VISIBLE			NEAR-INFRARED		
<i>Type of target</i>	<i>uncorrected</i>	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e = \rho_c$	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e \neq \rho_c$	<i>uncorrected</i>	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e = \rho_c$	<i>corrected</i> $\rho_e \neq \rho_c$
Black Spruce target	41% (1.0%)	6% (0.2%)	6% (0.2%)	7% (1.1%)	4% (0.6%)	4% (0.7%)
Cut-over	59% (2.8%)	40% (2.0%)	32% (1.6%)	4% (0.8%)	7% (1.6%)	3% (0.6%)
Grass	31% (1.6%)	16% (0.9%)	11% (0.6%)	6% (1.4%)	2% (0.4%)	4% (1.0%)
Runway	3% (0.6%)	4% (0.7%)	3% (0.5%)	10% (2.1%)	5% (1.1%)	10% (2.0%)
Sand	15% (2.1%)	13% (1.9%)	15% (2.4%)	2% (0.5%)	9% (1.9%)	5% (1.1%)
Tarmac	25% (1.4%)	13% (0.8%)	9% (0.5%)	20% (1.3%)	22% (1.5%)	6% (0.4%)
Water (WGL)	38% (1.5%)	16% (0.6%)	9% (0.4%)	71% (0.8%)	54% (0.5%)	94% (1.3%)
Water (WSL)	274% (1.6%)	69% (0.4%)	83% (0.3%)	348% (0.7%)	150% (0.3%)	789% (1.6%)

Table 3: Spectrally averaged relative errors in the apparent surface BRF computed for uncorrected and atmospherically corrected cases in the visible and near-infrared regions. This table has the same meaning as Table 2 except that in this case the atmospheric corrections were performed using apparent BRF rather than normalized radiance as input to the atmospheric correction model.